Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,961	OGA, TOSHIYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHARLES SHEDRICK	2617	

	CHARLES SHEDI	RICK	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover s	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as fil replies: (1) an amer eal (with appeal fee)	ing a Notice of andment, affidavi o in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTH	S from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	·).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corres hortened statutory pe	ponding amount origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR	41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CF	FR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date	of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or s	earch (see NO	TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or				ne issues for
(d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding numb	per of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: Claims 1-5 arguments are not persuasive a	and 6 - 8 have bee	n amended to ir	nclude specific orienta	tion of device
arrangement. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached No	otice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted	in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejectio and was not earlie	ns under appea r presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the	e claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached. 	does NOT place th	ne application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Charles Examiner.	Shedrick/ Art Unit 2617		